Examining Authority's Second Written Questions – NGET response

ational Grid	National Grid and the Order Limits	NOTT III
	Confirm your latest position in relation to your objection to the Order limits including the proposed NGNS and ongoing discussions with the Applicant.	NGET's position remains that no rights are to be granted or secured through CA powers that would restrict the substation being built. As previously stated, NGET will only have concerns over the extent of land over which the Applicant seeks rights if protective provisions cannot be agreed between the parties that will adequately protect NGET's interests and ensure compliance with relevant safety standards. NGET continues to work with the Applicant to seek to agree protective provisions and is still hopeful they will be in an agreed position before the close of the Examination
oplicant nglian Water adent Gas Limited colum Pipeline /stem Ltd ational Grid ectricity ansmission ational Grid ectricity	Protective Provisions The Applicant has provided a revised dDCO [REP3-004] that includes draft Protective Provisions (PPs). a. All parties, provide an update on negotiations. b. All parties except the Applicant, please confirm whether you consider the PPs in the dDCO [REP3-004] to be acceptable. If not, explain fully why not and provide alternative wording. c. Applicant, Cadent Gas Limited has raised concerns [REP3-085] about a lack of engagement. What is your reply to these concerns and confirm whether an agreement before the end of the examination is anticipated?	 a. NGET and the Applicant have been working towards an agreed version of the protective provisions and many issues have been resolved, however, there are still a number of key points which are not yet agreed, for example, amended and new definitions, particularly in relation to which land within the Order Limits the protective provisions apply; insurance; security and indemnity provisions; obligations around interaction with the NG Navenby Substation and Substation Area, and reciprocal liability. NGET awaits detail from the Applicant on their proposed amendments to insurance, security, indemnity and reciprocal liability provisions before they can comment on whether these provisions are now agreed. NGET is also considering its position on the amendments the Applicant has made to Art 2 definitions as the proposed amendments impact NGET's position considerably. b. NGET do not yet consider the PPs in the dDCO [REP3-004] to be acceptable because, as set out above, the
ac co/s at ec	dian Water dent Gas Limited blum Pipeline stem Ltd cional Grid ctricity nsmission cional Grid	ongoing discussions with the Applicant. Protective Provisions The Applicant has provided a revised dDCO [REP3-004] that includes draft Protective Provisions (PPs). dent Gas Limited a. All parties, provide an update on negotiations. b. All parties except the Applicant, please confirm whether you consider the PPs in the dDCO [REP3-004] to be acceptable. If not, explain fully why not and provide alternative wording. c. Applicant, Cadent Gas Limited has raised concerns [REP3-085] about a lack of engagement. What is your reply to these concerns and confirm whether an agreement before the end of the examination is

AC_218009604_1

Distribution (East	not yet agreed and are still in the process of being
Midlands)	negotiated by the parties. The PPs in the dDCO [REP3-
	004] do not adequately protect NGET's interests. NGET
Network Rail	attaches a version of the PPs which it would accept to this
	submission.

AC_218009604_1 2